SINGLE BENCH

CF/200/

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2680 **/2005**

PETITIONER : Raj Kumar Gupta, S/o. Late Shri Chhotelal Gupta, aged 49 years, occupation – Service, presently working as Senior Auditor, in the State of C.G., Mandi Board, R/o. Behind L.I.C. Office, Sai Mandir Marg, Talapara, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.) presently residing at Kankalipara, Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 2782/05
Presented by Shri Sanjay Patel
dated 22/6/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India, Through Secretary, Department of Personnel & Training, Ministry of Govt. of India, New Delhi.

2. Public Sector undertaking committee, Through its President, State Re-organisation cell, General Administration, Department, State of M.P. Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

3. State of M.P. Through Secretary, Department of Agricultural, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

4. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Agricultural, D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

5. C.G. State, Agricultural Marketing Board, Through its Managing Director, Purana Ganj Mandi Parisar, Raipur (C.G.)

6. M.P. State Agricultural Marketing Board, Through its Managing Director, Arera Hills, Jail Road, Kishan Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).

4724/05
22/6/05

R/C
Alankpure
22/6/05

THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

22/6/05

WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTION.

(82)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.2680 OF 2005

Petitioner : Raj Kumar Gupta

versus

Respondents : Union of India and others

Present : Mr. Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Sharmila Singhai, counsel for respondent No.1.
Mr. Sachin Singh Rajput, counsel for respondents No.2 and 3.
Mr. V.V.S.Moorthy, Deputy Advocate General with Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh/respondent No.4.
None for respondents No.5 and 6.

ORDER

(Passed on 1st Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

2. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal